

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,797	03/09/2004	William D. Beskitt	D-1218 R5	3158	
28995	7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER		
RALPH E. JOCKE walker & jocke LPA			BEAUCHAINE, MARK J		
•	BROADWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MEDINA, O	MEDINA, OH 44256			3653	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(c)			
Office Action Summers			Applicant(s)			
		10/796,797	BESKITT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark J. Beauchaine	3653			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-47</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>8-41</u> is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>42,44 and 45</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) 43,46 and 47 is/are objected to.					
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).			
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
	application from the International Bureau	·	ou in this National Stage			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ed.			
		·				
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The terms "generally flexible cover" (line 2) and "flexible cover" (line 3) is ambiguous since it is unclear whether this element is the "movable cover" of claim 42, line 6.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent Number 4,186,977 by Gilovich et al ("Gilovich") in view of Patent Number 6,042,001 by Siler et al ("Siler") in view of Patent Number 5,803,563 by Woodward ("Woodward"). The apparatus disclosed by Gilovich comprises deposit holding container 10 including body 12b bounding an item storage area, the body including

Art Unit: 3653

upper opening (see Figure 1) and a pair of disposed parallel tracks that support movable cover 11. Said container further comprises a cover recess therein configured to engage engaging lever 20.

Gilovich fails to disclose a pair of outward extending lip portions. Siler teaches a deposit holding container comprising a pair of outward extending lip portions 20 for the purpose of slidably engaging a pair of disposed inward extending projections 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the lip portions 20 of Siler into the apparatus of Gilovich for the purpose of slidably engaging a housing encompassing the apparatus.

Gilovich fails to disclose a movable portion bounding a track in the storage area. Woodward teaches a container 10 comprising movable cover 22 and movable portion 38 bounding tracks 24. The movable portion is removed for the purpose of enabling the separation of the cover from the tracks. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the movable portion of Woodward into the apparatus of Gilovich for the purpose of enabling the separation of the cover from the tracks.

Although the container of Woodward is a kitchen cabinet, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both the containers of Gilovich and Woodward are rigid containers comprising tambour doors to

Art Unit: 3653

ا الر

enclose items within the containers. Accordingly, both containers are reasonably pertinent to the same particular problem.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-41 are allowed. Claims 43 46 and 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Claims 8-41 are allowed. Claims 42, 44 and 45 stand rejected and claims 43, 46 and 47 stand objected to as explained above. Claims 1-7 have been canceled by the Applicant. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3653

٠. "ل

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark J. Beauchaine whose telephone number is (571)272-6934. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM through 5:00PM Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mjb

PATRICK MACKET PRIMARY EXAMINER